Ab Initio GW electron-electron interaction effects in Quantum Transport Pierre Darancet, Andrea Ferretti, Didier Mayou and Valerio Olevano LEPES, CNRS Grenoble INFM S3, Università di Modena European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility

Many thanks to: Lucia Reining, Matthieu Verstraete, Hector Mera







European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility

Outline:

- 1. Quantum-Transport problem
- 2. DFT Landauer-Buttiker Formalism
- 3. Non-Equilibrium Green's Functions (NEGF) Theory
- 4. e-e scattering effects and GW
- 5. Results on Gold Monoatomic chain vs EXP
- 6. Conclusions and Perspectives

NanoElectronics & Quantum Transport

Nanoscale Electronics Devices



[1] N. Agrait et al., Phys. Rep. (2003)



[2] H. Ohnishi et al., Nature (1998)



[3] M. Reed et al., Science (1997)

Both an Experimental, a Technological and a Theoretical Challenge!

Quantum Transport: The Working Bench



for Finite Voltage: Open System and Out-of-Equilibrium description.

Landauer-Büttiker Theory



Landauer Formula in Green's functions



 $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{c}} = (\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{c}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{l}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{r}})^{-1}$

conductor Green's functions

 $\Sigma_{l} = H_{lc}^{\dagger} g_{l} H_{lc}$ $\Sigma_{r} = H_{cr} g_{r} H_{cr}^{\dagger}$

lead self-energies

 $g_{l,r} = (\epsilon - H_{l,r})^{-1}$

leads bulk Green's functions

 $\Gamma_{\mathrm{l,r}} = i [\Sigma_{\mathrm{l,r}}^{\mathrm{r}} - \Sigma_{\mathrm{l,r}}^{\mathrm{a}}]$

conductor-lead coupling



Fisher-Lee relation

Landauer on top of DFT

What to take for the hamiltonian? $H = \begin{pmatrix} H_{l} & H_{lc} & 0 \\ H_{lc}^{\dagger} & H_{c} & H_{cr} \\ 0 & H_{cr}^{\dagger} & H_{r} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{leads}}_{\text{conductor}}$

DFT Kohn-Sham hamiltonian! But projected in Real Space!

Convenient basis: Maximally Localized Wannier functions (MLWF):

$$w_n \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{BZ} \Psi_n \mathbf{k}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}.\mathbf{r}} d^3k$$

N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt, PRB (1997) I. Souza et *al.*, PRB (2001)



PWSCF or **ABINIT**: DFT on PW basis set, projections on MLWF**WanT**: LB Conductance through Green's functions on MLWF

http://www.wannier-transport.org

A. Calzolari et al., PRB (2004)

Landauer-Büttiker approach

Correctly describes:

- Contact Resistance
- Scattering on Defects, Impurities
- Non-commensurability patterns

DFT-Landauer drawbacks

- The Kohn-Sham electronic structure is in principle unphysical;
- DFT, no Open Systems, no Out-of-Equilibrium Theory -> only linear response, small bias;
- Non interacting quasiparticles -> only coherent part of transport.

► Need to go Beyond!

Beyond LB-DFT: 2 Possibilities

• TDDFT for Quantum Transport

G. Stefanucci and C.-O. Almbladh, Europhys Lett. (2005)

- promising possibility
- need a suitable approx for xc
- NEGF (NonEquilibrium Green's Function theory)
 - full access to all observables
 - it has maybe a more intuitive physical meaning

Non-Equilibrium Green's Function Theory (NEGF) (improperly called Keldysh)

Much more complete framework, allows to deal with:

- Many-Body description of incoherent transport (electron-electron interaction, electronic correlations and also electron-phonon);
- **Out-of-Equilibrium** situation;
- Access to **Transient** response (beyond Steady-State);
- Reduces to Landauer-Buttiker for coherent transport.

The theory is due to the works of Schwinger, Baym, Kadanoff and Keldysh

Many-Body Finite-Temperature formalism

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} + \hat{W}$$
 many-body

hamiltonian

$$\bar{\mathbf{O}} = \frac{\sum_{i} e^{-\beta E_{i}} \langle \Psi_{i} | \hat{\mathbf{O}} | \Psi_{i} \rangle}{\sum_{i} e^{-\beta E_{i}}} = \operatorname{tr} [\hat{\rho} (\hat{\mathbf{H}}) \hat{\mathbf{O}}] \qquad \text{observable}$$

 $\hat{
ho}(\hat{H}) = \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}}}{\operatorname{tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}}]}$

statistical weight

NEGF formalism



 $\bar{\mathbf{o}}(t) = tr[\hat{\rho}(\hat{\mathbf{H}})\hat{\mathbf{o}}_{H}(t)]$ $t > t_{0}$ observable

 $\hat{
ho}(\hat{H}) = rac{\mathrm{e}^{-eta\hat{H}}}{\mathrm{tr}\,[\mathrm{e}^{-eta\hat{H}}]}$

statistical weight referred to the unperturbed Hamiltonian and the equilibrium situation before t_0

Time Contour

 $\hat{o}_{H}(t) = \hat{s}(t_{0}, t)\hat{o}(t)\hat{s}(t, t_{0})$ Heisenberg representation

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}_0) = T\left\{ \exp\left(-i\int_{\mathbf{t}_0}^{\mathbf{t}} d\mathbf{t}' \hat{H}(\mathbf{t}')\right) \right\}$$
 evolution operator

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t}_0 - \mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{t}_0) = \mathbf{e}^{-\boldsymbol{\beta}\hat{\mathbf{H}}}$$

trick to put the equilibrium weight into the evolution

$$\bar{\mathbf{o}}(t) = \frac{\operatorname{tr} [\hat{\mathbf{s}}(t_0 - i\beta, t_0) \hat{\mathbf{s}}(t, t_0)]}{\operatorname{tr} [\hat{\mathbf{s}}(t_0 - i\beta, t_0)]}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{o}}(t) = \frac{\operatorname{tr} [T_c [\exp(-i\int_c dt' \hat{H}(t')) \hat{\mathbf{o}}(t)]]}{\operatorname{tr} [T_c [\exp(-i\int_c dt' \hat{H}(t'))]]}$$

$$\frac{t_0}{\operatorname{tr} [T_c [\exp(-i\int_c dt' \hat{H}(t'))]]}$$

Contour and Perturbation Theory

 $G^{co}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = (-i) \overline{T_c\{\psi_H(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_H^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}_2)\}}$ contour ordered Green's function

• To recover perturbation theory (Wick's theorem, Feynman diagrams, etc.) you have to declare the Green's function and all the quantities on the Closed Contour.



$$G^{co}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \begin{cases} G^{to}(x_{1}, x_{2}) & t_{1,} t_{2} \in C_{\rightarrow} \\ G^{<}(x_{1}, x_{2}) & t_{1} \in C_{\rightarrow}, t_{2} \in C_{\leftarrow} \\ G^{>}(x_{1}, x_{2}) & t_{1} \in C_{\leftarrow}, t_{2} \in C_{\rightarrow} \\ G^{ato}(x_{1}, x_{2}) & t_{1,} t_{2} \in C_{\leftarrow} \end{cases}$$



$$\mathbf{G}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{r}} & \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Keldysh formulation}$$

G^k=G [>] +G [<] Keldysh Green's function

 $\mathbf{G}^{\prime \prime} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{r}} & \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{k}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Larkin-Ovchinnikov} \\ \text{formulation} \\ \end{array}$

Green's and Correlation Functions



distribution functions

$$G^{to} = G^{r} + G^{<}$$

A=i(G^r-G^a) Spectral Function

Out of Equilibrium we need to introduce at least three unrelated "Green's" functions.

> Once we know the Green's and the Correlation functions, the problem is solved! They contain all the physics!

 $-iG < (\omega) = f_{FD}(\omega)A(\omega)$ $iG > (\omega) = [1 - f_{FD}(\omega)]A(\omega)$

At Equilibrium the Correlation functions are related to the Green's function through the Fermi-Dirac distribution.

NEGF Fundamental Kinetic Equations

$$G^{r} = [\omega - H_{c} - \Sigma^{r}]^{-1}$$
$$G^{<} = G^{r} \Sigma^{<} G^{a}$$
$$G^{>} = G^{r} \Sigma^{>} G^{a}$$

Caveat!: in case we want to consider also the transient, then we should add another term to these equations:

 $G^{\ <}=G^{r}\varSigma^{\ <}G^{a}+(1+G^{r}\varSigma^{r})G^{0<}\ (1+\varSigma^{a}G^{a})$ Keldysh equation

Self-Energy and Scattering Functions

- Σ^{r} Self-Energy
- Σ < In-scattering function (represent the rate at which the electrons come in)
- Σ > Out-scattering function

 $\Gamma = i(\Sigma^{r} - \Sigma^{a})$ Decay Rate

$$-i\Sigma^{<}(\omega) = f_{FD}(\omega)\Gamma(\omega)$$

$$i\Sigma^{>}(\omega) = [1 - f_{FD}(\omega)]\Gamma(\omega)$$

At Equilibrium





Critical point : • Choice of relevant approximations for the Self-Energy and the in/out scattering functions

e-e interactions, our choice: the GW Approximation

$$\Sigma_{GW}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \mathbf{i} G(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) W(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \mathbf{GW}$$
 Self-Energy

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{GW}}(x_1,x_2) = 1 \stackrel{V}{\overbrace{G}} 2$$



NEGF Quantum Transport resolution scheme

Iterating the Kinetic Equations

(G and Σ have to be recalculated at each iteration):



Highly Time-consuming



So far applied to model Hamiltonians (Anderson, Kondo) But very few applications for real systems



Our Scheme

- Approximations:
 - 1) G⁰W⁰ Non Self-Consistently
 - 2) Equilibrium (linear response, small bias)
 - 3) Neglect Transient (Steady-State)
- We take into account:
 - 1) Many-Body Correlations (Renormalization of the electronic structure)
 - 2) e-e Scattering (appearance of Resistance and Loss-of-Coherence)
 - 3) Finite Lifetime and Dynamical effects (beyond Plasmon-Pole GW)



Formula for the Current: From Landauer to Meir-Wingreen

$$G_{0C}^{r/a}(\omega) = [\omega - H_C - \Sigma_L^{r/a}(\omega) - \Sigma_R^{r/a}(\omega)]^{-1}$$
$$\bigcup$$
$$G_C^{r/a}(\omega) = [\omega - H_C - \Sigma_L^{r/a}(\omega) - \Sigma_R^{r/a}(\omega) - \Sigma_{corr}^{r/a}(\omega)]^{-1}$$

$$I = \frac{e}{h} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left(f_L(\omega) - f_R(\omega) \right) Tr \left\{ G_{0C}^a(\omega) \Gamma_L(\omega) G_{0C}^r(\omega) \Gamma_R(\omega) \right\}$$
Landauer
$$I = \frac{e}{h} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \left(f_L(\omega) - f_R(\omega) \right) Tr \left\{ G_C^a(\omega) \Gamma_L(\omega) G_C^r(\omega) \Gamma_R(\omega) \Lambda(\omega) \right\}$$
Meir-Wingreen (Landauer-like formula)
$$\Lambda(\omega) = \left[\Gamma_L(\omega) + \Gamma_R(\omega) \right]^{-1} \left[\Gamma_L(\omega) + \Gamma_R(\omega) + \Gamma_{corr}(\omega) \right]$$
Allows to calculate the current through an interacting region

at equilibrium

Our Scheme



Results on Au Monoatomic Chain



GW on Au Monoatomic chain: renormalization of the energies



Monoatomic Gold chain: GW vs DFT bandplot



PPM Plasmon-Pole Model
AC Analytic Continuation
CD Contour Deformation
(more or less the same)

•DFT : Cut-off : 15 Ha, Nk 5 in IBZ, Smearing 0.015 Ha •GW : W : 150 bands, WF 1505 PW, Eps 49 PW Sigma : 100 bands, PW 2503, freq 16000

P. Darancet, A. Ferretti, D. Mayou, et V. Olevano, submitted (2006)

GW vs DFT Landauer Conductance



e-e scattering switched on in the Conductor



Self-Energy and Spectral Function



• The Analytic Continuation smooths the more accurate Contour Deformation

e-e scattering only in the Conductor





e-e scattering everywhere (in conductor and leads)





No contact resistance (small increase in the central part)
Appearance of Satellite
Conductance Channels

C / V characteristics: GW vs EXP



Conclusions & Perspectives

GW electron-electron correlations in Quantum transport on Au chain Effects :

•Static real part of the Self-energy (DFT+GW+LB)

•Non-hermitean part of the Self-energy (GW-NEGF)

•Full dynamical Self-energy (GW-NEGF)

 \Rightarrow Modification of the Conductance profile

 \Rightarrow Loss-of-coherence, dissipative effects

 \Rightarrow Appearance of satellites

Au chain: Equilibrium G⁰W⁰ in good agreement with the smooth drop observed in the Experiment

Perspectives

=>Self-consistent calculation of $G^{<}$ $G^{>}$

=>Out of Equilibrium (Finite bias)

Next Attempts

- We first solve the fundamental NEGF equations, going out of equilibrium;
- Then we calculate the GW self-energy and scattering functions;
- We solve then directly the NEGF current equation (not a modified Landauer-Buttiker equation).



Future Attempts

• Full NEGF self-consistent code, implementing GW or even beyond.



Future Projects and Perspectives

- NEGF seems to us to be the only framework for QT.
- Provided a well established localized basis is provided once and for all, next NEGF steps should be not such timeconsuming and straightforward.
- Non-Equilibrium as first iterations should be feasible cheaply;
- In going toward Self-Consistent NEGF, DFT can be really considered as first guess or to provide the atomic structure. And we can even start from H0, neglecting exchange and correlation at the beginning.
- A fully NEGF self-consistent code, implementing the provided flow diagram, would be a valuable tool for QT.

The Number of Modes M



The conductance somehow depends on $M(\varepsilon)$, and this is demonstrated by the famous devil-stair experiment showing the quantization of *C* when reducing the number of modes by a gate voltage.

 $M(\varepsilon)$ counts the number of Modes available at a given energy.





$$i(\epsilon) = i_{1}^{\neg}(\epsilon) - i_{1}^{\neg}(\epsilon) = \frac{2e}{h} M(\epsilon) T(\epsilon) [f_{1}(\epsilon) - f_{2}(\epsilon)]$$
Total Current
$$I = \int d\epsilon i(\epsilon) = \frac{2e}{h} \int d\epsilon M(\epsilon) T(\epsilon) [f_{1}(\epsilon) - f_{2}(\epsilon)]$$
Landauer Formula

Landauer Formula in Linear Response

T and M are constant in the bias range
 The Bias is small

I =
$$\frac{2e}{h}MT[\mu_1 - \mu_2]$$
 The Current is linear in the bias

Conductance $C(\epsilon) = \frac{2e^2}{h}\overline{T}(\epsilon)$ Landauer Formula

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}(\epsilon) = \mathrm{M}(\epsilon) \mathrm{T}(\epsilon)$$

How to calculate T? Quantum Mechanics scattering matrix approach or ...

Landauer Formula in Green's functions



The three-partitioned model





$$H(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_r + u(r)$$

Real Space Representation or on localized basis

$$H_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & -t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -t^{\dagger} & u_{-1} + 2t & -t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t^{\dagger} & u_{0} + 2t & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -t^{\dagger} & u_{1} + 2t & -t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -t^{\dagger} & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$



Electron-Phonon Self-Consistent Born Approximation

Current Flow

$$i_{p}(\epsilon) = \frac{e}{h} tr \left[\sum_{p} G^{>} - \sum_{p} G^{>} \right]$$

<] current flowing through lead p

rate at which electrons are probability that scattered from lead p in i a state i is empty

This reduces to the ordinary Landauer-Buttiker formula when there is no e-e or e-ph scattering, that is the flow is coherent.

$$i_{p}^{coh}(\epsilon) = \frac{e}{h} tr [\Gamma_{p}G^{r}\Gamma_{q}G^{a} - \Gamma_{p}G^{r}\Gamma_{q}G^{a}](f_{p} - f_{q})$$